

Announcing the *Lancet* Commission on stigma and discrimination in mental health



Stigma and discrimination against people with mental ill health are global problems and have severe consequences in terms of social exclusion.¹ Such social exclusion is associated with barriers to health care,^{2,3} increased unemployment,⁴ and premature mortality.⁵ Evidence is clear from high-income countries, and is emerging from low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs), that interventions can be effective in reducing such stigma and discrimination.⁶⁻⁸ We now need a reappraisal of this field and a set of radical and practical recommendations to guide action locally, nationally, and globally to address mental health-related stigma and discrimination.

This reappraisal has been initiated through a global collaboration, the *Lancet* Commission on Stigma and Discrimination in Mental Health. The Commission has six main aims. First, we will define stigma and discrimination, summarising the various models that have been developed, and provide an integrative framework to guide the work of the Commission. Second, we will summarise the global evidence of how people with mental illness experience stigma and discrimination. Third, we will describe the wide-ranging impacts of stigma, including barriers to clinical services, biases in the behaviour of health-care providers, violation of basic human rights, and adverse social implications, such as in marriage and the workplace. Fourth, we will conduct a literature review on the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of interventions to reduce stigma and discrimination related to mental illness. Fifth, we will identify what policies, resources, initiatives, culturally relevant narratives, and interventions are required to eradicate mental health-related stigma and discrimination, and what needs to be done to drive the systemic legal, financial, social, and health changes that are required. Finally, the Commission will provide a set of actionable recommendations to put these changes into practice, including measures developed with key stakeholder and target groups, including service users and carers, policy makers, clinicians, educators, carers, celebrities, philanthropists, and researchers.

Since stigma and discrimination occur globally, although their manifestations vary by context and culture,

a serious and coordinated international effort is required to produce strong Commission recommendations. The 21 Commissioners (appendix) are drawn from 15 countries and have experience in governmental and non-governmental organisations, service user groups, universities, and other research centres. Most of the Commissioners are from LMICs and most are women. Service user involvement is central to evidence-based interventions to reduce stigma and discrimination, and the Co-Chairs (GT and CS) bring their own, and their family, experiences of living with severe mental illness, alongside their understanding of the global picture of evidence and practice related to stigma reduction. The Commissioners are supported by the members of the Commission's advisory board (appendix), including people from more than 12 further countries with strong representation from service user groups in LMICs.

We expect to gather information about the impact of stigma on individuals, families, communities, and at wider structural and systemic levels. To assist us in doing this, the Commission Co-Chairs welcome feedback to inform the Commission's work through the Commission's website from readers about their experiences of stigma and discrimination in mental health and what has been helpful to counteract these experiences.

Published Online
November 5, 2020
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)32203-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32203-0)
See Online for appendix

For the Commissions website see <http://www.indigo-group.org/the-lancet-commission-on-stigma-and-discrimination-in-mental-health-lcs/>



Fanatic Studio/Gary Waters/Science Photo Library

We aim for our Commission report to be published in 2022. To optimise the impact of this work for people affected by stigma, we plan to identify communication strategies to enhance public dissemination and engagement with, for example, service users, carers, the print, broadcast, and social media, philanthropists, and advocacy groups. Health is a human right and mental health is no less and no more important than physical health.⁹ We anticipate that the Commission's report will forcefully argue for the right to mental health, for service user empowerment, for support, care, and treatment for all who need these services, and for sustained resources to eradicate stigma and discrimination related to mental illness, all as core elements of what it means to live in a civilised world.¹⁰

The Commission has not received any financial support to date. GT is supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Applied Research Collaboration South London at King's College London NHS Foundation Trust, and by the NIHR Asset Global Health Unit award. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the National Health Service (NHS), the NIHR, or the Department of Health and Social Care. GT also receives support from the US National Institute of Mental Health of the National Institutes of Health under award number R01MH100470 (Cobalt study). GT is supported by the UK Medical Research Council in relation to the Emilia (MR/S001255/1) and Indigo Partnership (MR/R023697/1) awards. CS is employed as Founder and Chief Executive Officer of the Global Mental Health Peer Network (GMHPN). The GMHPN is supported by grants from Comic Relief (3904912) and Open Society Foundations (OR2020-69437). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of Comic Relief and Open Society Foundations.

*Graham Thornicroft, Charlene Sunkel
graham.thornicroft@kcl.ac.uk

Centre for Global Mental Health and Centre for Implementation Science, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London SE5 8AF, UK (GT); and Global Mental Health Peer Network, Johannesburg, South Africa (CS)

- 1 Lasalvia A, Zoppei S, Van Bortel T, et al. Global pattern of experienced and anticipated discrimination reported by people with major depressive disorder: a cross-sectional survey. *Lancet* 2013; **381**: 55–62.
- 2 Sunkel C, Viljoen M. Shortage of psychiatric medications in South Africa. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2017; **4**: 15–16.
- 3 Clement S, Schauman O, Graham T, et al. What is the impact of mental health-related stigma on help-seeking? A systematic review of quantitative and qualitative studies. *Psychol Med* 2015; **45**: 11–27.
- 4 Brouwers EP, Mathijssen J, Van Bortel T, et al. Discrimination in the workplace, reported by people with major depressive disorder: a cross-sectional study in 35 countries. *BMJ Open* 2016; **6**: e009961.
- 5 Liu NH, Daumit GL, Dua T, et al. Excess mortality in persons with severe mental disorders: a multilevel intervention framework and priorities for clinical practice, policy and research agendas. *World Psychiatry* 2017; **16**: 30–40.
- 6 Thornicroft G, Mehta N, Clement S, et al. Evidence for effective interventions to reduce mental-health-related stigma and discrimination. *Lancet* 2016; **387**: 1123–32.
- 7 Maulik PK, Devarapalli S, Kallakuri S, Tripathi AP, Koschorke M, Thornicroft G. Longitudinal assessment of an anti-stigma campaign related to common mental disorders in rural India. *Br J Psychiatry* 2019; **214**: 90–95.
- 8 Li J, Fan Y, Zhong HQ, et al. Effectiveness of an anti-stigma training on improving attitudes and decreasing discrimination towards people with mental disorders among care assistant workers in Guangzhou, China. *Int J Ment Health Syst* 2019; **13**: 1.
- 9 Sunkel C, Saxena S. Rights-based mental health care. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2019; **6**: 9–10.
- 10 Sunkel C. Empowerment and partnership in mental health. *Lancet* 2012; **379**: 201–02.